

CLAIRE C. McCASKILL Missouri State Auditor

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Lewis County, Missouri

The State Auditor's Office through the State Office of Administration, Division of Purchasing, contracted the audit services of Lewis County, Missouri, for the two years ended December 31, 2002. A copy of this audit which was performed by Arthur White & Associates, L.L.C., Certified Public Accountants, is attached.

Claire C. McCaskill State Auditor

Que McCasliell

Report No. 2003-60 July 3, 2003

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI DECEMBER 31, 2001 AND 2002

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | <u>Page</u> |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| FINANCIAL SE | CCTION | |
| Independent Au | aditors' Reports: | 2-6 |
| | Statements and Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures al Awards | 3-4 |
| an Audit | oce and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With tent Auditing Standards | 5-6 |
| Financial States | ments: | 7-33 |
| <u>Exhibit</u> | <u>Description</u> | |
| A-1 A-2 | Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash - Various Funds Year Ended December 31, 2002 Year Ended December 31, 2001 | |
| В | Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash - Budget and Actual - Various Funds, Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 | 10-33 |
| Notes to the Fin | nancial Statements | 34-37 |
| Supplementary | Schedule: | 38-41 |
| | Expenditures of Federal Awards, Years Ended 31, 2002 and 2001 | 39-41 |
| Notes to the Su | pplementary Schedule | 42-44 |
| FEDERAL AWA | ARDS - SINGLE AUDIT SECTION | |
| Independent Au | aditors' Report: | 46-48 |
| | nce With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-13 | 3 47-48 |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | <u>Page</u> |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| FEDERAL AWAR | DS - SINGLE AUDIT SECTION | |
| Schedule: | | 49-55 |
| | Indings and Questioned Costs (Including Management's ctive Action), Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 | 50-55 |
| Section I - Su | ummary of Auditors' Results | 50-51 |
| Section II - F | Financial Statement Findings. | 51-55 |
| <u>Number</u> | <u>Description</u> | |
| 02-1 | Budgetary practices | 51 |
| 02-2 | Budget to expend all resources | |
| 02-3 | County Collector | 53-53 |
| 02-4 | Prosecuting Attorney | 54-55 |
| 02-5 | Failure to reconcile bank account | 55 |
| Section III - | Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs | 55 |
| Follow-Up on Pri | or Audit Findings for an Audit of Financial Statements | |
| Performed in Acc | ordance With Government Auditing Standards | 56-63 |
| Summary Schedu | le of Prior Audit Findings in Accordance | |
| | lar A-133 | 64-65 |

FINANCIAL SECTION

Independent Auditors' Reports

ARTHUR WHITE & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

302 Main Street Tarkio, Missouri 64491 Telephone (660) 736-5811 Fax (660) 736-4364 Offices also located in: St. Joseph, Missouri Rock Port, Missouri

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

April 23, 2003

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Lewis County, Missouri

We have audited the accompanying special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Lewis County, Missouri, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, as identified in the table of contents. These special-purpose financial statements are the responsibility of the County=s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these special-purpose financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the special-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the special-purpose financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying special-purpose financial statements were prepared for the purpose of presenting the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash of various funds of Lewis County, Missouri, and comparisons of such information with the corresponding budgeted information for various funds of the County and are not intended to be a complete presentation of the financial position and results of operations of those funds or of Lewis County.

In our opinion, the special-purpose financial statements referred to in the first paragraph present fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash of various funds of Lewis County, Missouri, and comparisons of such information with the corresponding budgeted information for various funds of the County as of and for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, in conformity with the comprehensive basis of accounting discussed in Note 1, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we also have issued our report dated April 23, 2003, on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the special-purpose financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the special-purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the special-purpose financial statements taken as a whole

Original Signed by Auditor

ARTHUR WHITE & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

ARTHUR WHITE & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

302 Main Street Tarkio, Missouri 64491 Telephone (660) 736-5811 Fax (660) 736-4364 Offices also located in: St. Joseph, Missouri Rock Port, Missouri

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

April 23, 2003

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Lewis County, Missouri

We have audited the special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Lewis County, Missouri, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated April 23, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Lewis County, Missouri, are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as finding numbers 02-1, 02-2 and 02-3.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the special-purpose financial statements of various funds of Lewis County, Missouri, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the special-purpose financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the County's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the

assertions of management in the special-purpose statements. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as finding numbers 02-2, 02-3, 02-4 and 02-5.

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the special-purpose financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that none of the reportable conditions described above are material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of the management of Lewis County, Missouri; federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities; and other applicable government officials and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Original Signed by Auditor

ARTHUR WHITE & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

Financial Statements

Exhibit A-1

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - VARIOUS FUNDS
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

| | | Cash, | | | | | | Cash, |
|-----------------------------------|------|----------|-------|----------|-----|-------------|----|------------|
| Fund | Ja | nuary 1 | Re | eceipts | Dis | sbursements | De | ecember 31 |
| General Revenue | \$ | 338,621 | \$ 1, | ,302,739 | \$ | 1,274,371 | \$ | 366,989 |
| Special Road and Bridge | | 13,499 | | 879,634 | | 867,365 | | 25,768 |
| Assessment | | 726 | | 118,872 | | 105,655 | | 13,943 |
| Road & Bridge Capital Improvement | | 1,121 | | 680,813 | | 678,557 | | 3,377 |
| Law Enforcement Training | | 1,629 | | 3,918 | | 4,204 | | 1,343 |
| Prosecuting Attorney Training | | 2,278 | | 592 | | 261 | | 2,609 |
| County Farm | | 22,103 | | 50,634 | | 16,995 | | 55,742 |
| Domestic Violence | | 1,902 | | 2,934 | | 1,902 | | 2,934 |
| Prosecuting Attorney Retirement | | 7,840 | | - | | 4,504 | | 3,336 |
| Prosecuting Attorney Bad Check | | 539 | | 4,690 | | 3,547 | | 1,682 |
| D.W.I. | | 648 | | 398 | | 3 | | 1,043 |
| Records Preservation | | 1,835 | | 4,133 | | 2,662 | | 3,306 |
| Recorder's Technologies | | 1,031 | | 2,491 | | 1 | | 3,521 |
| Law Enforcement Operating | | 195 | | 563,005 | | 558,805 | | 4,395 |
| Sheriff Civil Fees | | 9,022 | | 16,435 | | 13,137 | | 12,320 |
| D.A.R.E. | | 1,095 | | 695 | | 1,254 | | 536 |
| Election Service | | 2,733 | | 2,053 | | 629 | | 4,157 |
| Collector Tax Maintenance | | - | | 588 | | - | | 588 |
| Circuit Clerk Interest | | 2,915 | | 2,171 | | 231 | | 4,855 |
| Associate Judge Interest | | 937 | | 829 | | 860 | | 906 |
| C.D.B.G Grant 2000-Pf-42 | | 1,000 | | 342,858 | | 343,858 | | - |
| E-911 | | 321,092 | | 293,336 | | 339,803 | | 274,625 |
| Lewis County Health Department | | 313,710 | | 819,662 | | 732,197 | | 401,175 |
| Total | \$ 1 | ,046,471 | \$ 5, | ,093,480 | \$ | 4,950,801 | \$ | 1,189,150 |

Exhibit A-2

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - VARIOUS FUNDS
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001

| | | | Cash, | | | | |
|--|----|----------|-----------------|-----|-------------|----|------------|
| Fund | J | anuary 1 | Receipts | Dis | sbursements | De | ecember 31 |
| General Revenue | \$ | 201,163 | \$ 1,257,784 | \$ | 1,120,326 | \$ | 338,621 |
| Special Road and Bridge | | 33,672 | 733,745 | | 753,918 | | 13,499 |
| Assessment | | 4,176 | 106,119 | | 109,569 | | 726 |
| Road & Bridge Capital Improvement | | 71,145 | 366,913 | | 436,937 | | 1,121 |
| Law Enforcement Training | | 3,162 | 2,938 | | 4,471 | | 1,629 |
| Prosecuting Attorney Training | | 2,440 | 466 | | 628 | | 2,278 |
| County Farm | | 47,270 | 39,843 | | 65,010 | | 22,103 |
| Domestic Violence | | 685 | 1,902 | | 685 | | 1,902 |
| Prosecuting Attorney Retirement | | 8,920 | 3,424 | | 4,504 | | 7,840 |
| Prosecuting Attorney Bad Check | | 1,244 | 2,425 | | 3,130 | | 539 |
| D.W.I. | | 336 | 316 | | 4 | | 648 |
| Records Preservation | | 2,580 | 3,772 | | 4,517 | | 1,835 |
| Recorder's Technologies | | | 1,031 | | - | | 1,031 |
| Law Enforcement Operating | | 29,345 | 507,680 | | 536,830 | | 195 |
| Sheriff Civil Fees | | 14,174 | 15,775 | | 20,927 | | 9,022 |
| D.A.R.E. | | 792 | 1,431 | | 1,128 | | 1,095 |
| Election Service | | 1,548 | 2,757 | | 1,572 | | 2,733 |
| Circuit Clerk Interest | | 3,604 | 1,848 | | 2,537 | | 2,915 |
| Associate Judge Interest | | 529 | 1,318 | | 910 | | 937 |
| C.D.B.G Grant 2000-Pf-42 | | | 154,942 | | 153,942 | | 1,000 |
| Clark County Water Supply District #1- | | | | | | | |
| Ramsey Jones | | 1,000 | 1,400 | | 2,400 | | -0- |
| E-911 | | 110,848 | 284,638 | | 74,394 | | 321,092 |
| Lewis County Health Department | | 225,979 | 705,974 | | 618,243 | | 313,710 |
| Total | \$ | 764,612 | \$ 4,198,441 | \$ | 3,916,582 | \$ | 1,046,471 |

Exhibit B-1

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI

COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
GENERAL REVENUE FUND

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 2002 | | | 2001 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Variance | | | Variance | | | | | | |
| | | | Favorable | | | Favorable | | | | | | |
| | Budget | Actual | (Unfavorable) | Budget | Actual | (Unfavorable) | | | | | | |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property taxes | \$ 209,660 | \$ 222,502 | \$ 12,842 | \$ 220,996 | \$ 222,374 | \$ 1,378 | | | | | | |
| Sales taxes | 611,800 | 659,980 | 48,180 | 514,500 | 671,325 | 156,825 | | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental | 32,830 | 47,177 | 14,347 | 26,177 | 24,992 | (1,185) | | | | | | |
| Charges for services | 173,075 | 191,019 | 17,944 | 159,050 | 165,986 | 6,936 | | | | | | |
| Interest | 11,000 | 13,002 | 2,002 | 14,000 | 17,605 | 3,605 | | | | | | |
| Other | 151,300 | 153,903 | 2,603 | 151,000 | 151,326 | 326 | | | | | | |
| Transfers in | 726 | 15,156 | 14,430 | 4,176 | 4,176 | - | | | | | | |
| Total Receipts | 1,190,391 | 1,302,739 | 112,348 | 1,089,899 | 1,257,784 | 167,885 | | | | | | |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County Commission | 76,370 | 72,734 | 3,636 | 75,370 | 73,829 | 1,541 | | | | | | |
| County Clerk | 56,003 | 55,356 | 647 | 54,752 | 53,726 | 1,026 | | | | | | |
| Elections | 35,400 | 29,286 | 6,114 | 7,078 | 5,044 | 2,034 | | | | | | |
| Buildings and grounds | 83,828 | 53,582 | 30,246 | 38,260 | 31,374 | 6,886 | | | | | | |
| Employee fringe benefits | 106,900 | 94,813 | 12,087 | 99,460 | 102,083 | (2,623) | | | | | | |
| County Treasurer | 28,305 | 27,605 | 700 | 28,055 | 27,075 | 980 | | | | | | |
| County Collector | 65,018 | 62,869 | 2,149 | 63,889 | 63,208 | 681 | | | | | | |
| Recorder of Deeds | 30,400 | 28,453 | 1,947 | 33,571 | 29,752 | 3,819 | | | | | | |
| Circuit Clerk | 13,500 | 10,553 | 2,947 | 14,000 | 11,043 | 2,957 | | | | | | |
| Associate Circuit Court | 10,780 | 10,780 | - | 10,673 | 10,673 | - | | | | | | |
| Court Reporter | 1,005 | 371 | 634 | 871 | 287 | 584 | | | | | | |
| Court administration | 6,602 | 2,997 | 3,605 | 6,486 | 1,550 | 4,936 | | | | | | |
| Public Administrator | 46,937 | 43,634 | 3,303 | 43,941 | 42,257 | 1,684 | | | | | | |
| Prosecuting Attorney | 95,884 | 83,905 | 11,979 | 69,797 | 63,002 | 6,795 | | | | | | |
| Juvenile Officer | 81,665 | 82,040 | (375) | 77,877 | 72,187 | 5,690 | | | | | | |
| Coroner | 14,110 | 10,656 | 3,454 | 12,910 | 10,484 | 2,426 | | | | | | |
| Other county government | 264,640 | 241,777 | 22,863 | 259,294 | 246,884 | 12,410 | | | | | | |
| Health and welfare | 90,000 | 22,997 | 67,003 | 71,148 | | 71,148 | | | | | | |
| Transfers out | 319,963 | 319,963 | - | 263,630 | 267,641 | (4,011) | | | | | | |
| Emergency fund | 60,000 | 20,000 | 40,000 | 60,000 | 8,227 | 51,773 | | | | | | |
| Total Disbursements | 1,487,310 | 1,274,371 | 212,939 | 1,291,062 | 1,120,326 | 170,736 | | | | | | |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | (296,919) | 28,368 | 325,287 | (201,163) | 137,458 | 338,621 | | | | | | |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | 338,621 | 338,621 | - | 201,163 | 201,163 | - | | | | | | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ 41,702 | \$ 366,989 | \$ 325,287 | \$ - | \$ 338,621 | \$ 338,621 | | | | | | |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL SPECIAL ROAD AND BRIDGE FUND

Exhibit B-2

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----|------------|------------|------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 2002 | | | | 2001 | | | | | | | |
| | | | V | ariance | | | Variance | | | | | | |
| | | | F | avorable | | | Favorable | | | | | | |
| | Budget | Actual | (Un | favorable) | Budget | Actual | (Unfavorable) | | | | | | |
| RECEIPTS | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property taxes | \$ 226,800 | \$ 252,693 | \$ | 25,893 | \$ 236,864 | \$ 219,388 | \$ (17,476) | | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental | 485,700 | 484,435 | | (1,265) | 502,200 | 480,575 | (21,625) | | | | | | |
| Interest | 3,000 | 2,629 | | (371) | 3,000 | 3,753 | 753 | | | | | | |
| Other | 132,797 | 114,227 | | (18,570) | 51,500 | 30,029 | (21,471) | | | | | | |
| Transfers in | 26,750 | 25,650 | | (1,100) | 30,000 | | (30,000) | | | | | | |
| Total Receipts | 875,047 | 879,634 | | 4,587 | 823,564 | 733,745 | (89,819) | | | | | | |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Salaries | 248,498 | 250,190 | | (1,692) | 272,585 | 215,948 | 56,637 | | | | | | |
| Employee fringe benefits | 94,931 | 91,909 | | 3,022 | 100,125 | 86,334 | 13,791 | | | | | | |
| Supplies | 68,800 | 69,670 | | (870) | 85,000 | 58,186 | 26,814 | | | | | | |
| Insurance | 17,000 | 32,409 | | (15,409) | 16,000 | 15,684 | 316 | | | | | | |
| Road and bridge materials | 252,050 | 240,502 | | 11,548 | 225,000 | 186,728 | 38,272 | | | | | | |
| Equipment repairs | 58,000 | 42,744 | | 15,256 | 75,000 | 50,764 | 24,236 | | | | | | |
| Rentals | 14,800 | 14,717 | | 83 | 500 | 275 | 225 | | | | | | |
| Equipment purchases | 50,145 | 41,212 | | 8,933 | 42,926 | 42,499 | 427 | | | | | | |
| Other | 83,900 | 84,012 | | (112) | 40,100 | 97,500 | (57,400) | | | | | | |
| Total Disbursements | 888,124 | 867,365 | | 20,759 | 857,236 | 753,918 | 103,318 | | | | | | |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | (13,077) | 12,269 | | 25,346 | (33,672) | (20,173) | 13,499 | | | | | | |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | 13,499 | 13,499 | | | 33,672 | 33,672 | - | | | | | | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ 422 | \$ 25,768 | \$ | 25,346 | \$ - | \$ 13,499 | \$ 13,499 | | | | | | |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
ASSESSMENT FUND

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 2002 2001 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Budget | Actual | ariance avorable favorable) | Budget | | Actual | Fa | ariance ivorable favorable) | | | | |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental | \$ 95,765 | \$ 92,802 | \$ | (2,963) | \$ 92,715 | \$ | 85,794 | \$ | (6,921) | | | |
| Interest | 850 | 497 | | (353) | 800 | | 610 | | (190) | | | |
| Other | | 2,948 | | 2,948 | 50 | | | | (50) | | | |
| Transfers in | 22,625 | 22,625 | | | 19,715 | | 19,715 | | | | | |
| Total Receipts | 119,240 | 118,872 | | (368) | 113,280 | | 106,119 | | (7,161) | | | |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Assessor | 119,702 | 105,655 | | 14,047 | 117,456 | | 109,569 | | 7,887 | | | |
| Total Disbursements | 119,702 | 105,655 | | 14,047 | 117,456 | | 109,569 | | 7,887 | | | |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | (462) | 13,217 | | 13,679 | (4,176) |) | (3,450) | | 726 | | | |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | 726 | 726 | | | 4,176 | | 4,176 | | | | | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ 264 | \$ 13,943 | \$ | 13,679 | \$ - | \$ | 726 | \$ | 726 | | | |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL ROAD & BRIDGE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND

| | | | Year Ended | December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|--------------|------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Variance | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Favorable | | | Favorable | | | | | | |
| | Budget | Actual | (Unfavorable) | Budget | Actual | (Unfavorable) | | | | | | |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sales taxes | \$ 197,000 | \$ 195,998 | \$ (1,002) | \$ 196,965 | \$ 196,964 | \$ (1) | | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental | 379,423 | 384,267 | 4,844 | 554,996 | 142,232 | (412,764) | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 2,000 | 548 | (1,452) | 1,000 | 2,717 | 1,717 | | | | | | |
| Other revenues | 100,000 | 100,000 | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Transfers in | | | - | 50,000 | 25,000 | (25,000) | | | | | | |
| Total Receipts | 678,423 | 680,813 | 2,390 | 802,961 | 366,913 | (436,048) | | | | | | |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contract labor | 3,000 | 4,145 | (1,145) | 6,800 | 4,600 | 2,200 | | | | | | |
| Bridge construction | 531,423 | 544,685 | (13,262) | 798,896 | 386,962 | 411,934 | | | | | | |
| Road & bridge materials | 28,900 | 23,631 | 5,269 | 55,000 | 32,946 | 22,054 | | | | | | |
| Equipment expenditures | 27,425 | 27,425 | - | 12,365 | 11,429 | 936 | | | | | | |
| Other | 62,031 | 53,021 | 9,010 | | 1,000 | (1,000) | | | | | | |
| Transfers out | 26,750 | 25,650 | 1,100 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Disbursements | 679,529 | 678,557 | 972 | 873,061 | 436,937 | 436,124 | | | | | | |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | (1,106) | 2,256 | 3,362 | (70,100 | (70,024) | 76 | | | | | | |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | 1,121 | 1,121 | = | 71,145 | 71,145 | - | | | | | | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ 15 | \$ 3,377 | \$ 3,362 | \$ 1,045 | \$ 1,121 | \$ 76 | | | | | | |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING FUND

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----|--------|------|------------|------|---------|----|---------|-----|------------|--|
| | | | | 2002 | | | 2001 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | V | ariance | | | | | V | ariance | |
| | | | | | Fa | vorable | | | | | Fa | vorable | |
| | В | udget | | Actual | (Uni | favorable) | E | Budget | | Actual | (Un | favorable) | |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 900 | \$ | (600) | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 1,026 | \$ | (474) | |
| Charges for services | | 2,082 | | 2,368 | | 286 | | 2,550 | | 1,862 | | (688) | |
| Other | | | | 650 | | 650 | | | | 50 | | 50 | |
| Total Receipts | | 3,582 | | 3,918 | | 336 | | 4,050 | | 2,938 | | (1,112) | |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public safety | | 5,211 | | 4,204 | | 1,007 | | 7,212 | | 4,471 | | 2,741 | |
| Total Disbursements | | 5,211 | | 4,204 | | 1,007 | | 7,212 | | 4,471 | | 2,741 | |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | (1,629) | | (286) | | 1,343 | | (3,162) | | (1,533) | | 1,629 | |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 1,629 | | 1,629 | | | | 3,162 | | 3,162 | | | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,343 | \$ | 1,343 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,629 | \$ | 1,629 | |

Exhibit B-6

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI

COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY TRAINING FUND

Year Ended December 31. 2002 2001 Variance Variance Favorable Favorable Budget (Unfavorable) (Unfavorable) Actual Budget Actual RECEIPTS Charges for services 600 592 (8) 600 466 (134)**Total Receipts** 600 592 (8) 600 466 (134) DISBURSEMENTS Public safety 2,878 3,040 628 2,412 261 2,617 Total Disbursements 2,878 261 2,617 3,040 628 2,412 RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS (2,278)331 2,609 2,278 (2,440)(162)CASH, JANUARY 1 2,278 2,278 2,440 2,440 CASH, DECEMBER 31 2,609 2,278 2,609 2,278 \$ --

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL COUNTY FARM FUND

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 2002 | | 2001 | | | | | | | | |
| | Budget | Actual | Variance Favorable (Unfavorable | Favor | able | | | | | | | |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest | \$ 900 | \$ 649 | \$ (251) | 1) \$ 1,200 \$ 1,099 \$ | (101) | | | | | | | |
| Other | 48,991 | 49,985 | 994 | 4 36,881 38,744 | 1,863 | | | | | | | |
| Total Receipts | 49,891 | 50,634 | 743 | 3 38,081 39,843 | 1,762 | | | | | | | |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Farm expenses | 5,000 | 1,985 | 3,015 | 5 5,000 2,136 | 2,864 | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance - other | 47,494 | 106 | 47,388 | 8 49,951 13,439 36 | 5,512 | | | | | | | |
| County programs | 19,500 | 14,904 | 4,596 | 6 18,400 13,263 | 5,137 | | | | | | | |
| Transfers | | | - | 12,000 36,172 (24 | 4,172) | | | | | | | |
| Total Disbursements | 71,994 | 16,995 | 54,999 | 9 85,351 65,010 20 | 0,341 | | | | | | | |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | (22,103) | 33,639 | 55,742 | 2 (47,270) (25,167) 22 | 2,103 | | | | | | | |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | 22,103 | 22,103 | - | 47,270 47,270 | | | | | | | | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ - | \$ 55,742 | \$ 55,742 | <u>\$</u> - \$ 22,103 \$ 22 | 2,103 | | | | | | | |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FUND

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|----|--------|-----|------------|------|--------|----|--------|-------|----------|--|
| | | | | 2002 | | | 2001 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 7 | ariance | | | | | Vai | riance | |
| | | | | | F | avorable | | | | | Fav | orable | |
| | E | Budget | 1 | Actual | (Un | favorable) | E | Budget | I | Actual | (Unfa | vorable) | |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Charge for services | \$ | 1,400 | \$ | 2,934 | \$ | 1,534 | \$ | 1,300 | \$ | 1,902 | \$ | 602 | |
| Total Receipts | | 1,400 | | 2,934 | | 1,534 | | 1,300 | | 1,902 | | 602 | |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| Domestic violence expenses | | 1,902 | | 1,902 | | | | 685 | | 685 | | | |
| Total Disbursements | | 1,902 | | 1,902 | | - | | 685 | | 685 | | - | |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | (502) | | 1,032 | | 1,534 | | 615 | | 1,217 | | 602 | |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 1,902 | | 1,902 | | - | | 685 | | 685 | | - | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | 1,400 | \$ | 2,934 | \$ | 1,534 | \$ | 1,300 | \$ | 1,902 | \$ | 602 | |

Exhibit B-9

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL PROSECUTING ATTORNEY RETIREMENT FUND

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----|---------|-----|------------------|----|---------|----|---------|-----|---------------------|
| | | | | 2002 | | 2001 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ariance avorable | | | | | | ariance avorable |
| | E | Budget | | Actual | (Un | favorable) | F | Budget | 1 | Actual | (Un | favorable) |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental | \$ | 3,500 | | | \$ | (3,500) | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 3,424 | \$ | (1,576) |
| Total Receipts | | 3,500 | | - | | (3,500) | | 5,000 | | 3,424 | | (1,576) |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transfers | | 4,505 | \$ | 4,504 | | 1_ | | 13,420 | | 4,504 | | 8,916 |
| Total Disbursements | | 4,505 | | 4,504 | | 1 | | 13,420 | | 4,504 | | 8,916 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | (1,005) | | (4,504) | | (3,499) | | (8,420) | | (1,080) | | 7,340 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 7,840 | | 7,840 | | - | | 8,920 | | 8,920 | | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | 6,835 | \$ | 3,336 | \$ | (3,499) | \$ | 500 | \$ | 7,840 | \$ | 7,340 |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL PROSECUTING ATTORNEY BAD CHECK FUND

| | | | | 3 | ear Ended I | Decen | nber 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|--------|-------------|------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|-----|--------|------|------------------------------|
| | | | 2002 | | | | | | 2001 | | |
| | Е | Budget | Actual | F | rariance avorable favorable) | | Budget | | Actual | Favo | riance orable vorable) |
| RECEIPTS | | uugci | Actual | (OII | iavorabic) | | Judget | - 1 | Actuai | (Oma | voiable) |
| Charges for service | \$ | 4,810 | \$ 2,380 | \$ | (2,430) | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 2,425 | \$ | (75) |
| Transfers in | | | 2,310 | | 2,310 | | | | | | - |
| Total Receipts | | 4,810 | 4,690 | | (120) | | 2,500 | | 2,425 | | (75) |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expenses | | 3,600 | 3,547 | | 53 | | 3,744 | | 3,130 | | 614 |
| Total Disbursements | | 3,600 | 3,547 | | 53 | | 3,744 | | 3,130 | | 614 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | 1,210 | 1,143 | | (67) | | (1,244) | | (705) | | 539 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 539 | 539 | | | | 1,244 | | 1,244 | | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | 1,749 | \$ 1,682 | \$ | (67) | \$ | - | \$ | 539 | \$ | 539 |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL D.W.I. FUND

| | | | | | Y | ear Ended I | Decem | ber 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|-------|----|--------|----------|------------------------------------|-------|---------|----|--------|--------|---------|
| | | | | 2002 | | | | | | 2001 | | |
| | В | udget | , | Actual | Fa | rariance avorable favorable) | B | udget | / | Actual | Fav | vorable |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | (0.1 | | | | | | (0.111 | |
| Charges for service | \$ | 500 | \$ | 398 | \$ | (102) | \$ | 250 | \$ | 316 | \$ | 66 |
| Interest | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Receipts | | 500 | | 398 | | (102) | | 250 | | 316 | | 66 |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expenses | | 1,148 | | 3 | | 1,145 | | 586 | | 4 | | 582 |
| Total Disbursements | | 1,148 | | 3 | | 1,145 | | 586 | | 4 | | 582 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | (648) | | 395 | | 1,043 | | (336) | | 312 | | 648 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 648 | | 648 | | | | 336 | | 336 | | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,043 | \$ | 1,043 | \$ | - | \$ | 648 | \$ | 648 |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL RECORDS PRESERVATION FUND

| | | | | | Y | ear Ended I | Decer | nber 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|---------|----|--------|------|--------------------|-------|----------|----|--------|------|---------------------|
| | | | | 2002 | | | | | | 2001 | | |
| | | 1 | | 1 | Fa | ariance vorable | | | | 1 | Fa | ariance avorable |
| RECEIPTS | В | udget | I | Actual | (Uni | favorable) | | Budget | F | Actual | (Uni | favorable) |
| Charges for services | \$ | 3,600 | \$ | 4,088 | \$ | 488 | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | 3,730 | \$ | (270) |
| Interest | | 30 | | 45 | | 15 | | 100 | | 42 | | (58) |
| Total Receipts | | 3,630 | | 4,133 | | 503 | | 4,100 | | 3,772 | | (328) |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Records preservation | | 5,465 | | 2,662 | | 2,803 | | 6,680 | | 4,517 | | 2,163 |
| Total Disbursements | | 5,465 | | 2,662 | | 2,803 | | 6,680 | | 4,517 | | 2,163 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | (1,835) | | 1,471 | | 3,306 | | (2,580) | | (745) | | 1,835 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 1,835 | | 1,835 | | <u>-</u> | | 2,580 | | 2,580 | | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,306 | \$ | 3,306 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,835 | \$ | 1,835 |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL RECORDER'S TECHNOLOGIES FUND

| | | | | | Y | ear Ended I | Decem | ber 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|-------|----|--------|------|--------------------|-------|---------|----|--------|------|--------------------|
| | | | | 2002 | | | | | | 2001 | | |
| | | | | | | ariance vorable | | | | | | ariance vorable |
| | В | udget | I | Actual | (Unf | avorable) | В | udget | A | Actual | (Unf | avorable) |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Charges for services | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 2,491 | \$ | (9) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,031 | \$ | 1,031 |
| Total Receipts | | 2,500 | | 2,491 | | (9) | | - | | 1,031 | | 1,031 |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recorder's technologies expenses | | 2,500 | | 1 | | 2,499 | | | | - | | - |
| Total Disbursements | | 2,500 | | 1 | | 2,499 | | - | | - | | - |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | - | | 2,490 | | 2,490 | | - | | 1,031 | | 1,031 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 1,031 | | 1,031 | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | 1,031 | \$ | 3,521 | \$ | 2,490 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,031 | \$ | 1,031 |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
LAW ENFORCEMENT OPERATING FUND

| | | | Y | ear Ended I | December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------|--------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------|
| | | 2002 | | | - | 2001 | |
| | | | | ariance vorable | | | Variance Favorable |
| | Budget | Actual | (Uni | favorable) | Budget | Actual | (Unfavorable) |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | |
| Sales taxes | \$ 131,300 | \$ 129,781 | \$ | (1,519) | \$ 131,300 | \$ 131,300 | \$ - |
| Intergovernmental | 110,097 | 103,719 | | (6,378) | 121,746 | 121,688 | (58) |
| Interest | 900 | 358 | | (542) | 729 | 729 | - |
| Other | 2,115 | 11,809 | | 9,694 | 5,308 | 5,548 | 240 |
| Transfers in | 317,838 | 317,338 | | (500) | 256,205 | 248,415 | (7,790) |
| Total Receipts | 562,250 | 563,005 | | 755 | 515,288 | 507,680 | (7,608) |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | |
| Salaries | 173,089 | 171,446 | | 1,643 | 169,510 | 169,568 | (58) |
| Salaries - jail | 136,119 | 139,384 | | (3,265) | 133,666 | 135,051 | (1,385) |
| Fringe benefits | 103,256 | 106,610 | | (3,354) | 99,501 | 98,188 | 1,313 |
| Office expenses | 28,025 | 27,753 | | 272 | 32,600 | 28,214 | 4,386 |
| Equipment expenses | 46,000 | 42,300 | | 3,700 | 58,196 | 57,065 | 1,131 |
| Prisoner board | 70,079 | 66,751 | | 3,328 | 49,679 | 48,744 | 935 |
| Transfers | 5,877 | 4,561 | | 1,316 | | | |
| Total Disbursements | 562,445 | 558,805 | | 3,640 | 543,152 | 536,830 | 6,322 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | (195) | 4,200 | | 4,395 | (27,864) | (29,150) | (1,286) |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | 195 | 195 | | - | 29,345 | 29,345 | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ - | \$ 4,395 | \$ | 4,395 | \$ 1,481 | \$ 195 | \$ (1,286) |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL SHERIFF CIVIL FEE FUND

| | | | Year Ended | December 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------|
| | | 2002 | | 2001 | |
| | | | Variance Favorable | Variai Favora | |
| | Budget | Actual | (Unfavorable) | Budget Actual (Unfavo | rable) |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental | \$ 16,750 | \$ 16,435 | \$ (315) | \$ 16,200 \$ 15,775 \$ | (425) |
| Total Receipts | 16,750 | 16,435 | (315) | 16,200 15,775 | (425) |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | • | |
| Parent education | 25,772 | 13,137 | 12,635 | 30,374 20,927 9 | ,447 |
| Total Disbursements | 25,772 | 13,137 | 12,635 | 30,374 20,927 9 | ,447 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | (9,022) | 3,298 | 12,320 | (14,174) (5,152) 9 | ,022 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | 9,022 | 9,022 | - | 14,174 14,174 | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ - | \$ 12,320 | \$ 12,320 | \$ - \$ 9,022 \$ 9 | ,022 |

Exhibit B-16

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL D.A.R.E. FUND

| | | | | | , | Year Ended I | Decen | nber 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|---------|----|--------|-----|--------------|-------|----------|----|--------|------|-----------|
| | | | | 2002 | | | | | | 2001 | | |
| | | | | | | /ariance | | | | | | ariance |
| | | | | | F | avorable | | | | | Fa | vorable |
| | E | udget | 1 | Actual | (Ur | ıfavorable) | E | Budget | 1 | Actual | (Uni | avorable) |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 695 | \$ | (1,005) | \$ | 1,000 | \$ | 1,431 | \$ | 431 |
| Total Receipts | | 1,700 | | 695 | | (1,005) | | 1,000 | | 1,431 | | 431 |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D.A.R.E. expenses | | 2,795 | | 1,254 | | 1,541 | | 1,792 | | 1,128 | | 664 |
| Total Disbursements | | 2,795 | | 1,254 | | 1,541 | | 1,792 | | 1,128 | | 664 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | (1,095) | | (559) | | 536 | | (792) | | 303 | | 1,095 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 1,095 | | 1,095 | | | | 792 | | 792 | | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | - | \$ | 536 | \$ | 536 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,095 | \$ | 1,095 |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL ELECTION SERVICE FUND

| | | | | Ŋ | Year Ended I | Decer | nber 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|---------|-------------|------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|----|--------|-------|---------------------------------|
| | | | 2002 | | | | | | 2001 | | |
| | Б | Budget | Actual | Fa | rariance avorable favorable) | | Budget | | Actual | Fa | ariance vorable avorable) |
| RECEIPTS | | duget | Actual | (OII | iavorabic) | | Juaget | 1 | retuar | (CIII | avorable) |
| Intergovernmental | \$ | 3,400 | \$ 2,053 | \$ | (1,347) | \$ | 410 | \$ | 2,757 | \$ | 2,347 |
| Interest | | | | | - | | | | | | - |
| Total Receipts | | 3,400 | 2,053 | | (1,347) | | 410 | | 2,757 | | 2,347 |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Election service - Office Expense | | 6,133 | 629 | | 5,504 | | 1,958 | | 1,572 | | 386 |
| Total Disbursements | | 6,133 | 629 | | 5,504 | | 1,958 | | 1,572 | | 386 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | (2,733) | 1,424 | | 4,157 | | (1,548) | | 1,185 | | 2,733 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 2,733 | 2,733 | | - | | 1,548 | | 1,548 | | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | = | \$ 4,157 | \$ | 4,157 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,733 | \$ | 2,733 |

Exhibit B-18

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL COLLECTOR TAX MAINTENANCE FUND

| | | | | | Y | ear Ended | December 31, |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|----|-------|------|-----------|--------------|
| | | | | 2002 | | | 2001 |
| | | | | | Va | ariance | |
| | | | | | Fa | vorable | |
| | Bud | get | A | ctual | (Unf | avorable) | |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | |
| Charges for services | | | \$ | 588 | \$ | 588 | |
| Total Receipts | | - | | 588 | | 588 | |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | |
| Collector tax maintenance expenses | | | | | | - | |
| Total Disbursements | | - | | - | | - | |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | - | | 588 | | 588 | |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | - | | - | | | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | - | \$ | 588 | \$ | 588 | |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
CIRCUIT CLERK INTEREST FUND

| | | | | | Y | ear Ended I | Decen | nber 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|---------|----|--------|----------|-------------|-------|-------------|----|-------|------|-----------|
| | | | | 2002 | | | | | | 2001 | | |
| | | | | | V | ariance | | | | | Va | ariance |
| | | | | | Fa | avorable | | | | | Fa | vorable |
| | Е | Budget | I | Actual | (Un | favorable) | F | Budget Actu | | | (Unf | avorable) |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 1,600 | \$ | 2,171 | \$ | 571 | \$ | 2,000 | \$ | 1,848 | \$ | (152) |
| Total Receipts | | 1,600 | | 2,171 | | 571 | | 2,000 | | 1,848 | | (152) |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equipment | | 4,400 | | 231 | | 4,169 | | 5,604 | | 2,537 | | 3,067 |
| Total Disbursements | | 4,400 | | 231 | | 4,169 | | 5,604 | | 2,537 | | 3,067 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | (2,800) | | 1,940 | | 4,740 | | (3,604) | | (689) | | 2,915 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 2,915 | | 2,915 | | - | | 3,604 | | 3,604 | | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | 115 | \$ | 4,855 | \$ | 4,740 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,915 | \$ | 2,915 |

Exhibit B-20

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL ASSOCIATE JUDGE INTEREST FUND

| | | | | , | Year Ended I | Decen | nber 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|-------|-----------|----|----------------------|-------|----------|----|--------|----|------------------|
| | | | 2002 | | | | | | 2001 | | |
| | | | | | Variance avorable | | | | | | riance orable |
| | В | udget | Actual | _ | avorable) | E | Budget | A | Actual | | vorable) |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| Other Revenues | \$ | 1,228 | \$ 829 | \$ | (399) | \$ | 1,200 | \$ | 1,318 | \$ | 118 |
| Total Receipts | | 1,228 | 829 | | (399) | | 1,200 | | 1,318 | | 118 |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Associate Judge interest expenses | | 2,165 | 860 | | 1,305 | | 1,729 | | 910 | | 819 |
| Total Disbursements | | 2,165 | 860 | | 1,305 | | 1,729 | | 910 | | 819 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | (937) | (31) | | 906 | | (529) | | 408 | | 937 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | 937 | 937 | | - | | 529 | | 529 | | - |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ | - | \$ 906 | \$ | 906 | \$ | - | \$ | 937 | \$ | 937 |

Exhibit B-21

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL C.D.B.G GRANT 2000-Pf-42

| | | | Y | ear Ended I | Decemb | per 31, | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------|--------------------|--------|---------|------------|-----|-----------------------|
| | | 2002 | | | | | 2001 | | |
| | | | | ariance vorable | | | | | Variance Tavorable |
| | Budget | Actual | (Uni | favorable) | Βι | ıdget | Actual | (Uı | nfavorable) |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | |
| Grant | \$ 345,058 | \$ 342,858 | \$ | (2,200) | | | \$ 154,942 | \$ | 154,942 |
| Total Receipts | 345,058 | 342,858 | | (2,200) | | - | 154,942 | | 154,942 |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| C.D.B.G. Grant 2000-Pf-42 expenses | 346,058 | 343,858 | | 2,200 | | | 153,942 | | (153,942) |
| Total Disbursements | 346,058 | 343,858 | | 2,200 | | - | 153,942 | | (153,942) |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | (1,000) | (1,000) | | - | | - | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | 1,000 | 1,000 | | | | - | - | | = |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 1,000 | \$ | 1,000 |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL CLARK COUNTY WATER SUPPLY DISTRICT #1 RAMSEY JONES FUND

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------|---|--------|---------------|----|---------|--|--|
| | 2002 | | 2001 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | V | ariance | | |
| | | | | | | Favorable | | | | |
| | | | Budget Actual | | Actual | (Unfavorable) | | | | |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grant | | | \$ | - | \$ | 1,400 | \$ | (1,400) | | |
| Total Receipts | | | | - | | 1,400 | | (1,400) | | |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grant expenses | | | | | | 2,400 | | (2,400) | | |
| Total Disbursements | | | | - | | 2,400 | | (2,400) | | |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | | | | - | | (1,000) | | (1,000) | | |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | | | | - | | 1,000 | | 1,000 | | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | |

Exhibit B-23

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
E-911 FUND

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----|------------|------------|------------|-----|------------|--|--|
| | 2002 | | | | 2001 | | | | | |
| | | Variance | | | | | 7 | /ariance | | |
| | | Favorable | | | | | F | avorable | | |
| | Budget | Actual | (Un | favorable) | Budget | Actual | (Ur | favorable) | | |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sales taxes | \$ 243,966 | \$ 282,092 | \$ | 38,126 | | \$ 279,340 | \$ | 279,340 | | |
| Interest | 2,500 | 11,169 | | 8,669 | | 5,298 | | 5,298 | | |
| Other | | 75 | | 75 | | | | | | |
| Total Receipts | 246,466 | 293,336 | | 46,870 | - | 284,638 | | 284,638 | | |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Salaries | 70,173 | 37,532 | | 32,641 | | 811 | | (811) | | |
| Benefits | 10,659 | | | 10,659 | | | | - | | |
| Supplies | 3,042 | 3,718 | | (676) | | 972 | | (972) | | |
| Maintenance | 800 | 65 | | 735 | | | | - | | |
| Contractual services | 99,834 | 112,431 | | (12,597) | | 70,101 | | (70,101) | | |
| Training | 7,800 | 4,388 | | 3,412 | | 264 | | (264) | | |
| Capital improvements | 142,050 | 178,115 | | (36,065) | | 2,246 | | (2,246) | | |
| Other | 945 | 3,554 | | (2,609) | | | | - | | |
| Total Disbursements | 335,303 | 339,803 | | (4,500) | _ | 74,394 | | (74,394) | | |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | (88,837) | (46,467) | | 42,370 | - | 210,244 | | 210,244 | | |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | 321,092 | 321,092 | | | \$ 110,848 | 110,848 | | | | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ 232,255 | \$ 274,625 | \$ | 42,370 | \$ 110,848 | \$ 321,092 | \$ | 210,244 | | |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH - BUDGET AND ACTUAL LEWIS COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT FUND

Exhibit B-24

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----|-------------|-----------|------------|-----|------------|
| | 2002 | | | 2001 | | | | |
| | | | 7 | Variance | , | | V | ariance |
| | | | F | avorable | | | Fa | avorable |
| | Budget | Actual | (Ur | nfavorable) | Budget | Actual | (Un | favorable) |
| RECEIPTS | | | | | | | | |
| Property taxes | \$ 82,394 | \$ 84,187 | \$ | 1,793 | \$ 83,927 | \$ 81,434 | \$ | (2,493) |
| Intergovernmental | 187,890 | 182,610 | | (5,280) | 183,624 | 186,097 | | 2,473 |
| Charges for services | 371,905 | 501,479 | | 129,574 | 308,470 | 389,909 | | 81,439 |
| Interest | 12,450 | 10,768 | | (1,682) | 8,079 | 12,016 | | 3,937 |
| Other | 35,538 | 40,618 | | 5,080 | 24,184 | 36,518 | | 12,334 |
| Transfers in | 44,444 | | | (44,444) | | | | |
| Total Receipts | 734,621 | 819,662 | | 85,041 | 608,284 | 705,974 | | 97,690 |
| DISBURSEMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| Salaries | 527,501 | 590,374 | | (62,873) | 486,859 | 489,550 | | (2,691) |
| Office expenses | 47,220 | 39,041 | | 8,179 | 38,586 | 36,621 | | 1,965 |
| Office equipment | 7,900 | 9,315 | | (1,415) | 3,900 | 15,038 | | (11,138) |
| Mileage-training | 38,000 | 40,753 | | (2,753) | 34,000 | 34,061 | | (61) |
| Other | 114,000 | 52,714 | | 61,286 | 102,450 | 42,973 | | 59,477 |
| Building fund | | | | | 131,330 | | | 131,330 |
| Total Disbursements | 734,621 | 732,197 | | 2,424 | 797,125 | 618,243 | | 178,882 |
| RECEIPTS OVER (UNDER) DISBURSEMENTS | - | 87,465 | | 87,465 | (188,841) | 87,731 | | 276,572 |
| CASH, JANUARY 1 | 313,710 | 313,710 | | | 225,979 | 225,979 | | |
| CASH, DECEMBER 31 | \$ 313,710 | \$ 401,175 | \$ | 87,465 | \$ 37,138 | \$ 313,710 | \$ | 276,572 |

The accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

Notes to the Financial Statements

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

1. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

A. Reporting Entity and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying special-purpose financial statements present the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash of various funds of Lewis County, Missouri, (County) and comparisons of such information with the corresponding budgeted information for various funds of the County. The funds presented are established under statutory or administrative authority, and their operations are under the control of the County Commission, an elected county official, the Louis County Health Department Board or the E-911 Board. The General Revenue Fund is the County's general operating fund, accounting for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The other funds presented account for financial resources whose use is restricted for specified purposes.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statements are prepared on the cash basis of accounting; accordingly, amounts are recognized when received or disbursed in cash. This basis of accounting differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which require revenues to be recognized when they become available and measurable or when they are earned and expenditures or expenses to be recognized when the related liabilities are incurred.

C. Budgets and Budgetary Practices

The County Commission and other applicable boards are responsible for the preparation and approval of budgets for various county funds in accordance with Sections 50.525 through 50.745, RSMo 2000, the county budget law. These budgets are adopted on the cash basis of accounting.

Although adoption of a formal budget is required by law, the County did not adopt formal budgets for the following funds:

| <u>Fund</u> | Years Ended December 31, |
|---|--------------------------|
| E-911 Fund | 2001 |
| C.D.B.G. Grant 2000-Pf-42 Fund | 2001 |
| Clark County Water Supply District #1 - | |
| Ramsey Jones Fund | 2001 |

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

1. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)</u>

C. Budgets and Budgetary Practices (Continued)

Warrants issued were in excess of budgeted amounts for the following funds:

| <u>Fund</u> | Years Ended | December 31, |
|---|-------------|--------------|
| C.D.B.G. Grant 2000 Pf-42 Fund Clark County Water Supply District #1 – | 2001 | |
| Ramsey Jones Fund | | 2001 |
| E-911 Fund | 2001 | 2002 |

Section 50.740, RSMo 2000, prohibits expenditures in excess of the approved budgets.

2. Cash

Section 110.270, RSMo 2000, based on Article IV, Section 15, Missouri Constitution, authorizes counties to place their funds, either outright or by repurchase agreement, in U.S. Treasury and agency obligations. In addition, Section 30.950, RSMo 2000, requires political subdivisions with authority to invest in instruments other than depositary accounts at financial institutions to adopt a written investment policy. Among other things, the policy is to commit a political subdivision to the principles of safety, liquidity, and yield (in that order) when managing public funds and to prohibit purchase of derivatives (either directly or through repurchase agreements), use of leveraging (through either reverse repurchase agreements or other methods), and use of public funds for speculation. The County has not adopted such a policy.

In accordance with Statement No. 3 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, Deposits with Financial Institutions, Investments (Including Repurchase Agreements), and Reverse Repurchase Agreements, disclosures are provided below regarding the risk of potential loss of cash deposits. For the purposes of these disclosures, deposits with financial institutions are demand, time, and savings accounts, including certificates of deposit and negotiable order of withdrawal accounts, in banks, savings institutions, and credit unions.

The County's deposits at December 31, 2002 and 2001 were entirely covered by Federal Depositary Insurance or by collateral securities held by the County's custodial bank in the County's name.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

2. <u>Cash (Continued)</u>

The Lewis County Health Department's deposits at December 31, 2002 and 2001 were entirely covered by Federal Depositary Insurance or by collateral securities held by the Lewis County Health Department's custodial bank in the Lewis County Health Department's name.

The E-911 Fund's deposits at December 31, 2002 and 2001 were entirely covered by Federal Depositary Insurance or by collateral securities held by the E-911 Fund's custodial bank in the E-911 Fund's name.

Supplementary Schedule

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

| Federal | | Pass-Through Entity | Federal Exp Year Ended D | |
|----------------|--|--|-----------------------------|------------------|
| CFDA Number | Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title | Identifying Number | 2002 | 2001 |
| | U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE | | | |
| | Passed through state Department of Health: | | | |
| 10.557 | Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children | ERS045 | \$ 34,122 | \$ 33,430 |
| | U. S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR | | | |
| | Direct programs: | | | |
| 12.112 | Payment in Leiu of Real Estate Taxes Fish & Wildlife | N/A N/A | 936 304 | 890 297 |
| | Total direct programs | | 1,240 | 1,187 |
| | Passed through state Office of Administration: | | | |
| 12.unknown | Flood Control Leases | 12.080 RSMo | 300 | 300 |
| | Total U.S. Department of Interior | | 1,540 | 1,487 |
| | U. S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE | | | |
| | Passed through state Office of Administration: | | | |
| 12.unknown | Surplus Property | N/A | 1,004 | |
| | U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | | | |
| | Passed through state Department of Economic Development: | | | |
| 14.228 | Community Development Block Grants Community Development Block Grants/State Program Community Development Block Grants Community Development Block Grants - pass through | 98-PF-17 98-PF-18 2002-PF-36 2000-PF-42 | 2,400 14,430 343,858 | 3,750 153,942 |
| | Total Pass-through programs | | 360,688 | 157,692 |
| | Total U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development | | 360,688 | 157,692 |
| | U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | | | |
| | Direct programs: | | | |
| 16.710 | Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants | 1997UMWX0640 | | 14,401 |
| | Bulletproof Vest Partnership | N/A | 3,300 | |
| | Total Direct programs | | 3,300 | 14,401 |
| | Passed through State Department of Public Safety: | | | |
| 16.unknown | Domestic Cannabis Eradication/Suppression Program | N/A | | 719 |
| | Total U.S. Department of Justice | | 3,300 (continued) | 15,120 |

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS, Continued

| Federal | | Pass-Through Entity | Federal Exp Year Ended D | |
|----------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| CFDA Number | Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title | Identifying Number | 2002 | 2001 |
| Į | J. S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | | | |
| | Passed through state: | | | |
| | Highway and Transportation Commission - | | | |
| 20.205 | Highway Planning and Construction | BRO-056(7) BRO-045(9) | 369,918 12,270 | 93,107 47,915 |
| | Department of Public Safety - | | | |
| 20.703 | Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness | N/A | | 7,511 |
| | Total pass-through programs | | 382,188 | 148,533 |
| Т | Total U. S. Department of Transportation | | 382,188 | 148,533 |
| F | EDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY | | | |
| | Passed through state Department of Public Safety - | | | |
| 83.534 | Emergency Management - State and Local Assistance | 1412-DR-MO 1403-DR-MO | 105,634 12,843 | |
| | Total pass-through programs | | 118,477 | |
| Т | Total Federal Emergency Management Agency | | 118,477 | |
| | | | (continued) | |

Schedule

LEWIS COUNTY, MISSOURI SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS, Continued

| Federal | | Pass-Through Entity | Federal Ex Year Ended I | |
|----------------|---|------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| CFDA Number | Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title | Identifying Number | 2002 | 2001 |
| Number | rederal Grantof/Pass-Through Grantof/Program Title | Number | 2002 | 2001 |
| U | J. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES | | | |
| | Passed through state: | | | |
| | Department of Health - | | | |
| 93.268 | Immunization Grants | PG0064 | 2,100 | |
| | Immunization Grants - Vaccine | N/A | 16,252 | 14,492 |
| | | | 18,352 | 14,492 |
| 93.283 | Epidemiology & Lab Capacity for Infectios Disease - | | | |
| | Mosquito Control | DH020094001 | 6,994 | 3,900 |
| 93.575 | Child Care and Development Block Grant | | | |
| 75.676 | Local Sanitation Inspections of Child Care Facilities | PGA067 | 1,665 | 1,290 |
| | Child Care Health Consultation | PGA067 | 2,088 | 2,500 |
| | | | 3,753 | 3,790 |
| 93,994 | Maternal and Child Health Services | | | |
| 75.774 | Block Grants to States | ERS146 | 15,375 | 14,419 |
| | Block Grants to States - Dental Sealants | N/A | 65 | 184 |
| | Block Grants to States - Family Planning | IFB 1013 & 0208 | 12,425 | 12,419 |
| | Block Grants to States - Vaccine | N/A | 175 | 1,630 |
| | | | 28,040 | 28,652 |
| | Department of Social Services - | | | |
| 93.563 | Child Support Enforcement | N/A | | 2,946 |
| | Total pass-through programs | | 57,139 | 53,780 |
| Т | otal U.S. Department of Health and Human Services | | 57,139 | 53,780 |
| | Total Expenditures of Federal Award: | | \$ 958,458 | \$ 410,042 |

N/A - Not applicable

The accompanying Notes to the Supplementary Schedule are an integral part of this schedule.

Notes to the Supplementary Schedule

NOTES TO THE SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE AS OF AND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

1. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

A. Purpose of Schedule and Reporting Entity

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been prepared to comply with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. This circular requires a schedule that provides total federal awards expended for each federal program and the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number or other identifying number when the CFDA information is not available.

The schedule includes all federal awards administered by Lewis County, Missouri.

B. Basis of Presentation

OMB Circular A-133 includes these definitions, which govern the contents of the schedule:

Federal financial assistance means assistance that non-Federal entities receive or administer in the form of grants, loans, loan guarantees, property (including donated surplus property), cooperative agreements, interest subsidies, insurance, food commodities, direct appropriations, and other assistance, but does not include amounts received as reimbursement for services rendered to individuals.

Federal award means Federal financial assistance and Federal costreimbursement contracts that non-Federal entities receive directly from Federal awarding agencies or indirectly from pass-through entities. It does not include procurement contracts, under grants or contracts, used to buy goods or services from vendors.

Accordingly, the schedule includes expenditures of both cash and noncash awards.

C. Basis of Accounting

Except as noted below, the schedule is presented on the cash basis of accounting, which recognizes amounts only when disbursed in cash.

NOTES TO THE SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE (CONTINUED) AS OF AND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

C. Basis of Accounting (Continued)

Of the amounts for Immunization Grants (CFDA number 93.268), \$16,252 and \$14,492 represent the original acquisition cost of vaccines purchased by the Centers for Disease Control of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services but distributed to the Lewis County Health Department through the state Department of Health during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. Of the amounts for the Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States (CFDA number 93.994), \$175 and \$1,630 represent the original acquisition cost of vaccines received by the Lewis County Health Department through the state Department of Health during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. The remaining amounts for Immunization Grants and the Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States represent cash disbursements.

2. Subrecipients

Of the federal expenditures presented in the schedule, the County provided \$343,858 and \$153,942 to subrecipients under the Community Development Block Grants/State's Program (CFDA number 14.228) during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

FEDERAL AWARDS - SINGLE AUDIT SECTION

Independent Auditors' Report

ARTHUR WHITE & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

302 Main Street Tarkio, Missouri 64491 Telephone (660) 736-5811 Fax (660) 736-4364 Offices also located in: St. Joseph, Missouri Rock Port, Missouri

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

April 23, 2003

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Lewis County, Missouri

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Lewis County, Missouri, with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements

In our opinion, Lewis County, Missouri, complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Lewis County, Missouri, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on the internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of the management of Lewis County, Missouri; federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities; and other applicable government officials and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Original Signed by Auditor

ARTHUR WHITE & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

Schedule

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (INCLUDING MANAGEMENT'S PLAN FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION) YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results

| Financial Statemer | <u>nts</u> | | |
|--|--|------------------------|-----------------|
| Type of auditors' | report issued: | Unqualified | |
| Internal control ov | ver financial reporting: | | |
| Material w | eaknesses identified? | yes | Xno |
| - | e conditions identified that are ered to be material weaknesses? | Xyes | none reported |
| Noncompliance m noted? | aterial to the financial statements | Xyes | no |
| Federal Awards | | | |
| Internal control ov | ver major program: | | |
| Material w | eaknesses identified? | yes | X no |
| | e conditions identified that are ered to be material weaknesses? | yes | X none reported |
| Type of auditors' major program: | report issued on compliance for | Unqualified | |
| • | s disclosed that are required to be ance with Section .510(a) of OMB | yes | X no |
| Identification of m | najor program: | | |
| CFDA or Other Identifying Number | Program Title | | |
| 14.228 | Community Development Block | Grants/State's Pro | ogram |
| 20.205 | Highway Planning and Construction | | |

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) (INCLUDING MANAGEMENT'S PLAN FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION) YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

| Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A | | |
|---|------------------|-------------|
| and Type B programs: | <u>\$300,000</u> | |
| Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee? | yes | <u>X</u> no |

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

This section includes the audit findings that *Government Auditing Standards* requires to be reported for an audit of financial statements.

02-1 <u>Budgetary Practices</u>

Condition: Formal budgets were not prepared for various County funds for the two years ended December 31, 2002. The County Commission failed to budget the C.D.B.G. Grant 2000-Pf-42 passed through to a subrecipient and the Clark County Water Supply District #1 – Ramsey Jones Fund for 2001. A new 911 sales tax became effective in 2000 and the E-911 Fund was established. However, the E-911 Board failed to budget the fund in 2001. In addition, as noted in note 1C in the notes to the financial statements, warrants were issued in excess of budgeted amounts for the C.D.B.G. Grant 2000 Pf-42, the Clark County Water Supply District #1 – Ramsey Jones Fund, and the E-911 Fund. Similar conditions were noted in the prior audit.

<u>Criteria</u>: Chapter 50, RSMo 2000, requires the preparation of annual budgets for all funds to present a complete financial plan for the ensuing year. By preparing or obtaining budgets for all County funds and activities, the County Commission and the E-911 Board are able to more effectively evaluate all County financial resources. In addition, Section 50.622, RSMo 2000, provides that Counties may amend the annual budget during any year in which the County receives additional funds, which could not be estimates when the budget was adopted, and that the County shall follow the same procedures required for adoption of the annual budget to amend its budget.

Effect: The County is not in compliance with Chapter 50, RSMo 2000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the County Commission and the E-911 Board ensure financial information for all County funds is included in the annual budgets. If necessary, extenuating circumstances should be fully documented and the budgets properly amended and filed with the State Auditor's Office.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) (INCLUDING MANAGEMENT'S PLAN FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION) YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Section II – Financial Statement Findings (continued)

<u>Auditee's responses</u>: *County Commission* - Starting in fiscal year 2002, formal budgets have been prepared for all County funds. *Secretary/Treasurer of the E-911 Board* – Beginning in January 2002, the E-911 Fund is budgeted.

02-2 Budget to Expend All Resources

<u>Condition</u>: Budget documents prepared by the County Clerk and approved by the County Commission do not always properly reflect the anticipated financial position of County funds. Expenditures for many funds are annually budgeted so that estimated ending cash balances will equal zero irrespective of estimated activity for the funds. Similar conditions were noted in the prior audit.

<u>Criteria</u>: Section 50.550, RSMo 2000, states "The annual budget shall present a complete financial plan for the ensuing budget year. It shall set forth all proposed expenditures for the administration, operation and maintenance of all offices, departments, commissions, courts and institutions..."

<u>Effect</u>: Budgeting to spend all available resources results in an inaccurate statement of the County's expected expenditures and limits the usefulness of the budgeting process. For budgets to be of maximum assistance to the County Commission and to the general public, disbursements should be budgeted based on historical experience and best estimates of future levels of activity. The County is not in compliance with Section 50.550, RSMo 2000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the County Commission prepare budgets based on estimates of future activity.

<u>Auditee's response</u>: We have discontinued budgeting zero ending balances unless we expect a zero ending balance.

02-3 County Collector

A. <u>Condition</u>: The County Collector does not perform monthly reconciliations between the bank balance and the monthly statement of collections and other monies which were undistributed. During the audit period, bank reconciliations were only documented for the period of January 2002 through April 2002. Since April 2002, the Collector has not posted all transactions to his cash control ledger and therefore is unable to reconcile the book balance with the bank balance. Similar conditions were noted in the prior audit.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) (INCLUDING MANAGEMENT'S PLAN FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION) YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

Section II – Financial Statement Findings (continued)

<u>Criteria</u>: Section 139.210, RSMo 2000 requires the Collector to file monthly with the County Clerk a detailed statement of the previous month's collections. Reconciliations of monthly statements of collections to bank statements and the cash balance are necessary to ensure that all collections have been distributed, to ensure that sufficient assets exist to cover liabilities, and to identify errors in a timely manner.

<u>Effect</u>: Without monthly reconciliation to bank statements, the statements of collections could contain errors, which would not be detected in a timely manner.

B. <u>Condition</u>: The County Collector did not file annual settlements with the County Commission on a timely basis. The settlement for the year ended February 28, 2001 was not filed until July 3, 2001 and the settlement for the year ended February 28, 2002 was not filed until April 12, 2002.

<u>Criteria</u>: Section 139.160, RSMo 2000, requires the annual settlement to be filed with the County Commission by the first Monday in March. While filing the annual settlement by the statutory due date is difficult, the County Collector needs to make a greater effort to ensure his annual settlement is filed on a timely basis.

Effect: The County is not in compliance with Section 139.160, RSMo 2000.

Recommendation: We recommend the County Collector:

- A. Perform monthly reconciliations between bank balances, cash balances, and monthly statement of collections. In addition, any remaining balance should be properly identified and disbursed in accordance with state law.
- B. File annual settlements in a timely manner.

Auditee's response:

- A. The Commission will continue to request the County Collector comply with these requirements.
- B. The Commission will continue to request the County Collector comply with these requirements.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) (INCLUDING MANAGEMENT'S PLAN FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION) YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

02-4 <u>Prosecuting Attorney</u>

Our review of the Prosecuting Attorney's accounting controls and procedures indicated the following areas of concern.

A. <u>Condition</u>: Accounting duties are not adequately segregated. The Prosecuting Attorney's bookkeeper is responsible for collecting, recording, depositing and disbursing all monies. Although the Prosecuting Attorney indicated that supervisory reviews of bank reconciliations were performed, there were no initials or other indication on bank statements of such reviews or that recorded receipts were agreed to deposits. Similar conditions were noted in the prior audit.

<u>Criteria</u>: To ensure proper recording of all transactions, the duties of handling, recording, distributing, and reconciling cash should be segregated. If the duties cannot be adequately segregated, at a minimum, an independent person, such as the Prosecuting Attorney, should review the initial bank reconciliations and agree recorded receipts and deposits.

<u>Effect</u>: Failure to adequately segregate duties or provide a supervisory review increases the risk that errors or irregularities will not be detected in a timely manner.

B. <u>Condition</u>: Monthly open items listings are not prepared. Consequently, open items were not reconciled to the cash balance. In addition, an unidentified balance has remained in the bank account since May 1999 and has not been identified. Similar conditions were noted in the prior audit.

<u>Criteria</u>: Preparation of monthly open items listings, in conjunction with reconciliations to book and bank balances, is necessary to ensure sufficient assets exist to cover liabilities and allow for timely correction of errors. Unidentified balances should be disposed as provided by state law.

<u>Effect</u>: Maintaining unidentified open items and failure to prepare monthly listings of open items increases the risk that errors or irregularities will not be detected in a timely manner.

Recommendation: We recommend the Prosecuting Attorney:

A. Adequately segregate accounting duties to the extent possible or ensure periodic supervisory reviews are performed and documented.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) (INCLUDING MANAGEMENT'S PLAN FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION) YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

B. Prepare a listing of open items on a monthly basis and reconcile the listing to bank and book balances. Dispose of unidentified monies in accordance with state law.

Auditee's response: The Commission will discuss these items with the Prosecuting Attorney in an attempt to correct these conditions.

02-5 Failure to Reconcile Bank Account

<u>Condition</u>: The E-911 Fund did not have bank reconciliations to support reported cash balances. As a result, it was necessary to adjust the reported ending cash balance by reducing that balance by \$2,554 to agree with the bank balances.

<u>Criteria</u>: Good internal control procedures would require that bank balances be reconciled to accounting records in a timely manner so that errors can be detected and corrected.

<u>Effect</u>: Failure to reconcile bank balances could result in errors or misstatement not being detected in a timely manner.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend bank balances be reconciled to the accounting records monthly.

Auditee's response: Bank balances are now being reconciled.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

This section includes no audit findings that Section .510(a) of OMB Circular A-133 requires to be reported for an audit of federal awards.

Follow-Up on Prior Audit Findings

Follow-Up on Prior Audit Findings for an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

FOLLOW-UP ON PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, this section reports the auditors' follow-up on action taken by Lewis County, Missouri, on findings that *Government Auditing Standards* requires to be reported for an audit of financial statements in the audit report issued by the State Auditor's office for the two years ended December 31, 2000.

1. <u>Budgetary Practice</u>

Formal budgets were not prepared for various County funds for the two years ended December 31, 2000.

The County Commission failed to budget the Clark County Water Supply District #1 – Ramsey Jones Fund, a Community Development Block Grant passed through to a subrecipient. In addition, the County Commission approved and budgeted the Local Law Enforcement Block Grand Fund in 1999, as grant monies were expected but not received. However the County failed to budget the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Fund in 2000 and grant monies were received during the year.

A new 911 sales tax became effective in 2000 and the E-911 Fund was established. However, the E-911 Board failed to budget the fund in 2000.

Chapter 50, RSMo 2000, requires the preparation of annual budgets for all funds to present a complete financial plan for the ensuing year. By preparing or obtaining budgets for all County funds and activities, the County Commission and the E-911 Board are able to more effectively evaluate all County financial resources. In addition, Section 50.622, RSMo 2000, provides that Counties may amend the annual budget during any year in which the County receives additional funds, which could not be estimated when the budget was adopted and that the County shall follow the same procedures required for adoption of the annual budget to amend its budget.

Recommendation: The County Commission and the E-911 Board ensure financial information for all County funds is included in the annual budgets. If necessary, extenuating circumstances should be fully documented and the budgets properly amended and filed with the State Auditor's Office.

Status: Similar conditions existed in the year ended December 31, 2001. See finding 02-1. The recommendation was implemented in the year ended December 31, 2002.

FOLLOW-UP ON PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, this section reports the auditors' follow-up on action taken by Lewis County, Missouri, on findings in the Management Advisory Report (MAR) of the audit report issued by the State Auditor's office for the two years ended December 31, 2000.

The prior recommendations, which have not been implemented, but are considered significant are repeated in the current findings that *Government Auditing Standards* requires to be reported for an audit of financial statements. Although the remaining unimplemented recommendations are not repeated, the County should consider implementing those recommendations.

1. <u>Budgetary Practices and Published Financial Statements</u>

- A. For the year ended December 31, 2000, actual expenditures exceeded budgeted amounts by \$6,221 for the Special Road and Bridge Fund to other funds and transfers were not budgeted. Actual expenditures also exceeded budgeted expenditures by \$657 for the Associate Judge Interest Fund for the year ended December 31, 2000.
- B. The annual published financial statements of the County did not include the financial activity of some County funds as required.
- C. Budget documents prepared by the County Clerk and approved by the County Commission do not properly reflect the anticipated financial position of several County funds. The County Clerk and County Commission annually budget to spend all available resources, resulting in estimated ending cash balances of zero.

Recommendation:

The County Commission:

- A. Not authorize disbursements in excess of budgeted amounts. If necessary, extenuating circumstances should be fully documented and budgets properly amended and filed with the State Auditor's office.
- B. The County Clerk and the E-911 Board ensure the financial information for all County funds is properly reported in the annual published financial statements.
- C. Base estimated expenditures on historical experience and known additional programs, and present a reasonable estimate of the County's financial position.

FOLLOW-UP ON PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

1. Budgetary Practices and Published Financial Statements, continued

Status:

- A. Partially implemented. Actual expenditures exceed budgeted amounts only for the 9-1-1 Fund for fiscal year 2001 and no variances were noted for fiscal year 2002. See finding 02-1.
- B. Implemented.
- C. Not implemented. See finding 02-2.

2. Officials Salaries

Section 50.333.13, RSMo, enacted in 1997, allowed salary commissions meeting in 1997 to provide mid-term salary increases for Associate County Commissioners elected in 1996. In 1999, Lewis County's Associate County Commissioners' salaries were each increased approximately \$5,440 yearly based on the fact that Associate County Commissioners' terms had been increased from two years to four years. On May 5, 2001, the Missouri Supreme Court handed down an opinion in a case that challenged the validity of that statute. Based on the Supreme Court decision, the raises given to each Associate County Commissioner, totaling approximately \$10,880 for the two years ended December 31, 2000, should be repaid.

Recommendation:

The County Commission review the impact of this opinion and develop a plan for obtaining repayment of the salary overpaid.

Status:

Not implemented. The County Commission did review the opinion but decided that no retroactive salary adjustments would be made.

3. County Collector's Accounting Control Procedures

A. The County Collector did not perform monthly reconciliations between the reconciled cash balance and the monthly statement of collections and other monies, which were undistributed. Also, an unidentified balance of approximately \$1,100 remained in the Collector's account as of September 30, 2000.

FOLLOW-UP ON PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

3. County Collector's Accounting Control Procedures, continued

B. The County Collector did not file annual settlements with the County Commission on a timely basis.

Recommendation:

- A. Perform monthly reconciliations between bank balances, cash balances, and monthly statement of collections. In addition, any remaining balance should properly be identified and disbursed in accordance with state law.
- B. File annual statement in a timely manner.

Status:

- A. Partially implemented. Monthly reconciliations are still not performed. See finding 02-3. The unidentified balance was identified.
- B. Not implemented. See finding 02-3.

4. Prosecuting Attorney's Controls and Procedures

- A. Accounting duties were not adequately segregated.
- B. Monthly open items listings were not prepared. Consequently, open items were not reconciled to the cash balance.

Status:

- A. Partially implemented. Since duties cannot be adequately segregated, reliance must be placed on supervisory duties. Although supervisory reviews are reported to be performed by the Prosecuting Attorney, such reviews are not documented.
- B. Not implemented. See finding 02-4.

FOLLOW-UP ON PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

5. Sheriff's Accounting Controls and Procedures

- A. Accounting duties are not adequately separated.
- B. Receipts are not always deposited on a timely basis.

Recommendation:

- A. Adequately segregate accounting duties to the extent possible or ensure periodic supervisory reviews are performed and documented.
- B. Issue one set of sequentially official prenumbered receipt slips for all monies received or reconcile monies recorded on the two sets of receipts currently issued. In addition, deposit all receipts intact daily or when accumulated receipts exceed \$100.

Status:

- A. Implemented.
- B. Implemented.

6. Public Administrator's Accounting Controls and Procedures

- A. Monthly bank reconciliations are not performed.
- B. Amounts due for services and products received by wards were not always paid timely.

Status:

- A. Implemented.
- B. Implemented.

FOLLOW-UP ON PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

7. <u>Health Center</u>

- A. Receipting duties are not adequately segregated.
- B. Monies received are not deposited intact.
- C. Budget documents prepared by the Health Center do not properly reflect the anticipated financial position.

Status:

- A. Implemented.
- B. Implemented.
- C. Implemented.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Section .315 of OMB Circular A-133 requires the auditee to prepare a Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings to report the status of all findings that are relative to federal awards and included in the prior audit report's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The summary schedule also must include findings reported in the prior audit's Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings, except those listed as corrected, no longer valid, or not warranting further action.

Section .500(e) of OMB Circular A-133 requires the auditor to follow up on these prior audit findings; to perform procedures to assess the reasonableness of the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings; and to report, as a current year finding, when the auditor concludes that the schedule materially misrepresents the status of any prior findings.

The prior audit report included no findings relative to federal awards.